

June 1966

A NOTE ON NONPARAMETRIC TESTS FOR SCALE<sup>\*</sup>

A. P. Basu and George Woodworth

Technical Report No. 78

University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, Minnesota

<sup>\*</sup>This research was supported by The National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF-GP 3813.

# A Note on Nonparametric Tests for Scale

By

A. P. Basu and George Woodworth

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  ( $m+n = N$ ) be two samples from two populations with continuous cumulative distribution functions  $F(x)$  and  $G(y) = F(\theta y)$  where  $\theta > 0$  is a scale parameter. To test the equality of the two populations, i.e., to test the null hypothesis.

$$H_0 : \theta = 1$$

various two-sample nonparametric tests are available. The S-T test proposed by Siegel and Tukey [9], the M-test proposed by Mood [7], the S-test proposed by I. R. Savage [9], the N-S test proposed by Klotz [6], which is asymptotically equivalent to that proposed by Capon [1], are a few among them. When the parent populations are normal the N-S test is known to be asymptotically locally most powerful (ALMP); i.e., its Pitman asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) with respect to the likelihood ratio test is one. Similarly, when the parent populations are exponential the S-test has been shown in [1] and [4] to be ALMP.

We ask the reader to recall that, under certain conditions, the Pitman ARE of one test with respect to another, against a specific family of alternatives, is given by the ratio of their efficacies\*, against that family of alternatives. In [6], page 501, Klotz asserts that the efficacy of the N-S test against the exponential alternative  $G(y) = 1 - e^{-\theta y}$ ,  $\theta \geq 1$ , is infinite. Since the efficacy of the S-test against this alternative is positive and finite (see (3.1) below), this implies that the N-S test is asymptotically

---

\* See, e.g., Noether [8] or Chernoff and Savage [2].

better than the S-test, which is impossible, since the S-test is ALMP.

The object of this note is to clarify the above confusion by computing the efficacy of the N-S test against the exponential alternative. In addition to this we compute ARE's for various pairs of tests against the exponential alternatives. In particular we give the correct value of the entries in the first row of Klotz's Table I [6] thereby establishing the clear superiority of the S-test over the N-S test in the exponential case.

## 2. General Expressions for Efficacies.

The general expressions for the efficacies of the N-S test, M-test and S-T test against the alternative  $G(y) = F(\theta y)$  are well known but they are given below for convenience.

	<u>Name of test</u>	<u>Expression for efficacy</u>
(2.1)	N-S test	$e(N-S) = \frac{2mn}{N} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Phi^{-1}(F)/\phi(\Phi^{-1}(F)))xf^2(x)dn \right]^2$
(2.2)	M-test	$e(M) = \frac{720mn}{N} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(x) - \frac{1}{2})xf^2(x)dn \right]^2$
(2.3)	S-T test	$e(S-T) = \frac{48mn}{N} \left[ \int_v^{\infty} xf^2(x)dn - \int_{-\infty}^v xf^2(n)dn \right]^2,$

where  $\Phi(x)$  and  $\phi(x)$  denote the standard normal cdf and density function, respectively, and  $v$  is any median of  $F$ . In this section we shall find the general expression for the efficacy of the S-test.

Denoting by  $E_{\theta}(\cdot)$  the expectation under the hypothesis that  $\theta$  is the true value, we have from the Chernoff-Savage theorem [2]

$$(2.4) \quad E_{\theta}(S) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^{-1} \left[ \frac{m}{n} F(x) + \frac{n}{N} F(\theta x) \right] dF(x)$$

where  $\Psi(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$  and  $\psi(x) = e^{-x}$ , and denoting by  $\sigma_N^2$  the variance of  $S$  under  $H_0$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{mN\sigma_N^2}{n} = 1.$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) the efficacy of the S-test is given by

$$(2.6) \quad e(S) = \left[ \frac{dE_{\theta}(S)}{d\theta} \Big|_{\theta=1} \right]^2 / \sigma_N^2 \approx \frac{mn}{N} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{xf^2(x)}{\psi(x)} dx \right]^2.$$

### 3. ARE in the Exponential Case.

From the general expressions for efficacies given in the previous section we can easily compute the ARE's of the various tests with respect to the N-S and S-test in the exponential case, for which

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x) &= 1 - e^{-x} \\ \text{and} \quad f(x) &= e^{-x}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), (2.6), (2.3) and (2.2) we easily see after some computation that in the exponential case

$$(3.2) \quad e(S) = mn/N,$$

$$(3.3) \quad e(S-T) = .11192 \frac{mn}{N}$$

$$(3.4) \quad e(M) = .13889 \frac{mn}{N}.$$

From (2.1) the efficacy of the N-S test in the exponential case is given by

$$(3.5) \quad e(N-S) = \frac{2mn}{N} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\phi^{-1}(1-e^{-x})}{\varphi(\phi^{-1}(1-e^{-x}))} x e^{-2x} dx \right]^2.$$

Klotz [6], page 501 states that this expression is infinite; we now show that it is, in fact, finite and give its approximate value.

It follows easily from the Feller-Laplace expansion of Mills' ratio ([3], page 179, eqn. (6.1)) that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{|\phi^{-1}(z)|}{\varphi(\phi^{-1}(z))} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z} & 0 < z \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{1-z} & \frac{1}{2} \leq z < 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi^{-1}(1-e^{-x})}{\phi(\phi^{-1}(1-e^{-x}))} x e^{-2x} dx \right| \leq \int_0^{\ln(2)} \frac{x e^{-2x}}{1-e^{-x}} dx + \int_{\ln(2)}^\infty x e^{-x} dx$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty x(x+1) e^{-x} dx < \infty .$$

We now derive several alternative expressions for the integral in (3.5).

Making use of the fact that  $\phi^{-1}(z) = -\phi^{-1}(1-z)$  and using the transformation  $z = \phi^{-1}(e^{-x})$ , so that  $-e^{-x} dx = \phi(z) dz$ , we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi^{-1}(1-e^{-x})}{\phi(\phi^{-1}(1-e^{-x}))} x e^{-2x} dx = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi^{-1}(e^{-x})}{\phi(\phi^{-1}(e^{-x}))} x e^{-2x} dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^\infty z \ln(\phi(z)) \phi(z) dz.$$

After repeated integrations by parts we find that the last integral above is equal to

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\phi^3(z)}{\phi^2(z)} dz = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left( \frac{\phi(\sqrt{2}z)}{\phi(\sqrt{2}z)} \right)^2 e^{-z^2} dz = .29782 .$$

The second integral in (3.9) was evaluated using the 40-point Gauss-Hermite quadrature formula<sup>\*</sup>; however, we have not investigated its accuracy. Thus, the efficacy of the N-S statistic in the exponential case is given, approximately, by

$$(3.10) \quad e(N-S) = (.17739) \frac{mn}{N} .$$

From (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.10) we can now easily compute the ARE of one test with respect to another. Denoting by  $e(T_1, T_2)$  the ARE of test  $T_1$  with respect to  $T_2$ , we find

---

<sup>\*</sup> See, e.g., Hildebrand [5], page 327; the zeros and weight factors of the 40<sup>th</sup> degree Hermite polynomial were obtained from an unpublished paper of Baber, Krishnaiah and Armitage.

$$\begin{aligned}
e(N-S/S) &= .17739 \\
e(S-T/S) &= .11192 \\
e(M/S) &= .13889 \\
e(S-T/N-S) &= .63093 \\
e(M/N-S) &= .78296 \\
e(S-T/M) &= .80582 .
\end{aligned}$$

.....

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Capon, J. (1961). Asymptotic efficiency of certain locally most powerful rank tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 32, 88-100.
- [2] Chernoff, H. and Savage, I. R. (1958). Asymptotic normality and efficiency of certain nonparametric test statistics. Ann. Math. Statist. 29, 972-994.
- [3] Feller, William (1950). An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications. Vol. 1. John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- [4] Hájek, Jaroslav (1962). Asymptotically most powerful rank order tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 33, 1124-1147.
- [5] Hildebrand, F. B. (1956). Introduction to Numerical Analysis. McGraw-Hill.
- [6] Klotz, Jerome (1962). Nonparametric tests for scale. Ann. Math. Statist. 33, 1124-1147.
- [7] Mood, A. M. (1954). On the asymptotic efficiency of certain nonparametric two-sample tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 25, 514-522.
- [8] Noether, G. (1955). On a theorem of Pitman. Ann. Math. Statist. 26, 64-68.
- [9] Savage, I. Richard (1956). Contributions to the theory of rank order statistics-the two-sample case. Ann. Math. Statist. 27, 590-615.
- [10] Siegel, S. and Tukey, J. W. (1960). A nonparametric sum of ranks procedure for relative spread in unpaired samples. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 55, 429-445.